

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,910	06/24/2003	Shuichi Takayama	UOM 0272 PUSP	2157
22045 BROOKS KUS	7590 02/13/2007 SHMAN P.C		EXAMINER	
1000 TOWN CENTER			NAFF, DAVID M	
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/602,910	TAKAYAMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	-
David M. Naff	1657	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🖾 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🗌 will not be entered, or b) 🖾 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 25-38. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13.
Other:

Đavid M. Naff **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 1657

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of claims 25-38 is maintained under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as not having support in the specification for the invention being a method for the generation of cracks on a coated polymer substrate as required by claim 25. The portions of the specification referred in the response for support describe forming cracks as occurs when carrying out steps a) and b) of claim 25, and do not support that the invention is to be a method for the generation of cracks on a coated polymer substrate. The specification discloses (page 3, lines 1-2) that the "invention provides a process for providing a nanopatterned substrate". No description is found of the invention not being a process for providing a nanopatterned substrate. Claiming the invention as a method for the generation of cracks on a coated polymer substrate as in the preamble of claim 25 is a concept of the invention not originally disclosed and supported. The preamble of claim 25 should be changed to that of original claim 1.

The 112, first paragraph, rejection in regard to specification failing to have support for a polymer substrate other than a

deformable polymer substrate is overcome by the amendments to step a) of claim 25.

The 112, first paragraph, rejection of claims 32, 33 and 36 not having support for a coating with a "crack coating" is maintained. Amending the claims to recite "crack surface coating" does not overcome the rejection since "crack surface coating", like "crack coating" has the meaning of a coating designed or specific for coating cracks, which is not supported in the specification. The specification discloses coating cracks, and not coating with a crack surface coating or a crack coating. The response asserts that a crack surface coating is a coating which coats the surfaces of the cracks, like paint used to paint a house is house paint. However, coating with a "crack surface coating" indicates that the coating is a coating designed or specific for coating cracks. Similarly, "house paint" means paint designed or specific for painting a house. The specification fails to support coating the surface of cracks with a coating designed or specific for coating crack surfaces. It is suggested claims 32, 33 and 36 be amended by canceling "crack surface" before "coating".